

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Paron and Trademark Office
Addrs: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. 30, 1450
Alexaddria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.spto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,198	07/19/2001	Louis Stoll	10378/4	9731
75	90 01/12/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
One Broadway New York, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	2131
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/909,198	STOLL, LOUIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 De</u>	ecember 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 8, 2005 has been entered.

2. Claims 12-19 are currently being considered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because:

Regarding claim 12, the Applicant argues that the CPA, Wood et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,668,322), does not teach "periodically validating access privileges based on contractual relationship information." This argument is not found persuasive. Wood discloses an architecture wherein trust levels are established commensurate with certain resources. Based on what trust level requirement an application has, an existing login credential can be used, or a new login credential must be created which meets the trust level requirement (column 5 lines 46-57). This trust level to user mapping is

viewed as a "contractual relationship" as the trust level establishes rules of which applications the user can access with the credentials that were generated at that trust level. Therefore, it is respectfully asserted that Wood does teach a "periodically validating access privileges based on contractual relationship information" wherein trust levels are analogous to "contractual relationship" given the broadest reasonable interpretation. Furthermore, the Applicant argues that the CPA does not explicitly teach "deleting login data or creating login data according to said validating." This argument is not found persuasive. Wood teaches that session credentials and the underlying login credentials are periodically validated for reasons such as the temporal validity of the session credential (column 8 lines 44-67). If the login credential remains valid, a replacement session credential is issued, and if not, the login credential and session credential are deleted (column 8 lines 57-67). The login credentials may be subject to being reauthenticated if the trust level mappings have changed (column 8 line 57 column 9 line 6). Therefore, it is asserted that the CPA does teach "deleting login data or creating login data according to said validating."

Therefore, the rejections for claims 12-18 are maintained, and the CPA is applied to reject newly added claim 19 as described below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/909,198

Art Unit: 2131

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wood et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,668,322).

Regarding claim 12, Wood discloses:

A method for updating privileges for access to an information system, comprising:

periodically validating access privileges based on contractual relationship information (column 5 lines 46-57); and

deleting login data or creating login data according to said validating (column 6 lines 57-67, column 8 line 44 – column 9 line 6).

Claim 13 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 12. Furthermore, Wood discloses:

The method of claim 12, wherein said validating includes matching a login data item to the contractual relationship information (column 6 lines 44-56).

Claim 14 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 12. Furthermore, Wood discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/909,198

Art Unit: 2131

The method of claim 12, wherein the contractual relationship information is included within an eligibility database (column 6 lines 23-33).

Claim 15 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 12. Furthermore, Wood discloses:

The method of claim 12, wherein said validating access privileges includes updating a datapage (column 6 lines 23-33).

Claim 16 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 12. Furthermore, Wood discloses:

The method of claim 12, wherein said validating includes cross-referencing eligibility information with security information (column 6 lines 23-33).

Claim 17 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 12. Furthermore, Wood discloses:

The method of claim 12, wherein said validating includes matching business rules to user login information (column 6 lines 1-9).

Claim 18 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 12. Furthermore, Wood discloses:

The method of claim 12, wherein said validating includes periodically validating access privileges based on contractual relationship information wherein said access

Art Unit: 2131

privileges define at least one or a program type, data item type, feature type, and menu option type (column 5 lines 46-57, column 6 lines 57-67).

Regarding claim 19, Wood discloses:

A method for updating privileges for access to an information system, comprising:

periodically validating access privileges based on contractual relationship information (column 5 lines 46-57);

creating login data independent of an attempt to access information in the system (column 6 lines 57-67, column 8 line 44 – column 9 line 6).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,198

Art Unit: 2131

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA

01/06/2006

/ ÄYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100